

Food Safety and Inspection Service Washington, D.C. 20250

128 753

Dr. Luis F. Salas Chief, Department of Meat Inspection Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Post Office Box 10094 1000 San Jose, Costa Rica

Dear Dr. Salas:

The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) conducted an on-site audit of Costa Rica's meat inspection system from July 2 - 12, 2001. Enclosed is a copy of the final audit report. Since Costa Rica did not submit any comments in response to the draft final audit report, no comments have been included as an attachment to the final audit report.

From past history, FSIS is confident that Costa Rica will initiate adequate corrective actions to correct the applicable sanitation, facility, and handling/storage deficiencies. If you have any questions regarding the audit or need additional information, please feel free to contact me. My telephone number is 202-720-6400 and my fax number is 202-690-7990.

Sincerely,

# Steven A. McDermott

Sally Stratmoen
Chief, Equivalence Section
International Policy Staff
Office of Policy, Program Development
and Evaluation

Enclosure

cc:

Alan Hrapsky, Attaché, American Embassy, San Jose
Laura Dachner, Minister-Counselor, Embassy of Costa Rica
Robert Hoff, FAS Area Officer
John C. Prucha, ADA, OPPDE
Sally Stratmoen, Section Chief, IPD
Karen Stuck, Section Chief, IPD
Amy Winton, State Department
Gary Stefan, Staff Officer, IPD
Country File

FSIS:OPPDE:IPS:RBrown:bw:3/21/02:Costa Rica Final Rpt. Ltr.

<u>Clearance</u> :	<u>Initials</u>	<u>Date</u>
Clark Danford, Acting Dir., IPS, OPPDE		

# **AUDIT REPORT FOR COSTA RICA**

JULY 2 THROUGH JULY 12, 2001

## INTRODUCTION

# **Background**

This report reflects information that was obtained during an audit of Costa Rica's meat inspection system from July 2 through July 11, 2001. All four establishments certified to export meat to the United States were audited. Three of these were slaughter establishments and one was conducting processing operations.

The last audit of the Costa Rican meat inspection system was conducted in September 2000. All four establishments were audited and were acceptable. There were no major concerns with Costa Rica's meat inspection system at that time.

During calendar year 2000, Costa Rica exported 27, 873, 382 pounds of fresh beef and beef products, beef edible organs, and beef processed products to the U.S. Port-of-entry (POE), rejections were 171, 160 pounds for processing defects, miscellaneous defects, contamination, pathological defects, and transportation damage and missing shipping marks.

#### **PROTOCOL**

This on-site audit was conducted in four parts. One part involved visits with Costa Rica's national meat inspection officials to discuss oversight programs and practices, including enforcement and compliance activities. The second entailed an audit of records in the meat inspection offices of the facilities selected for on-site visits. The third was conducted by on-site visits to establishments. The fourth was a visit to two laboratories, one performing analytical testing of field samples for the national residue testing program, and the other culturing field samples for the presence of microbiological contamination with *E coli* and *Salmonella*.

Costa Rica's program effectiveness was assessed by evaluating five areas of risk: (1) sanitation controls, including the implementation and operation of Sanitation Standard Operating Procedures (SSOPs), (2) animal disease controls, (3) residue controls, (4) slaughter/processing controls, including the implementation and operation of Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) systems and the *E. coli* testing program; and (5) enforcement controls, including the testing program for *Salmonella* species.

During all on-site establishment visits, the auditor evaluated the nature, extent, and degree to which findings impacted on food safety and public health, as well as overall program delivery. The auditor also determined if establishment and inspection system controls were in place. Establishments that do not have effective controls in place to prevent, detect and eliminate product contamination/adulteration are considered unacceptable and therefore ineligible to export products to the U.S., and are delisted accordingly by the country's meat inspection officials.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## **Summary**

Effective inspection system controls were found to be in place in all four of the establishments (08, 010,012 and 019) audited. Details of audit findings, including compliance with HACCP, SSOPs, and testing programs for *Salmonella* and generic *E. coli* are discussed later in this report.

# **Entrance Meeting**

On July 2, 2001, an entrance meeting was held in the San Jose offices of the U.S. Embassy in San Jose, Costa Rica, and was attended by Mr. Alan Hrapsky, Agriculture Attaché; Victor Emilio Gonzalez, Agriculture Specialist of Foreign Agriculture Service, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA); Dr. Luis Frederico Salas, Chief, Meat Inspection Division; Dr. Byron Gurdian, Veterinary Staff Officer and Interpretor, Meat Inspection Division of Ministerio de Agricultura y Ganaderia, Direccion de Salud Animal, (MAGDSA) of Costa Rica; and Dr. Suresh Singh, International Audit Staff Officer of the Technical Service Center, Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS). Topics of discussion included the following:

- 1. Travel arrangements and itinerary within Costa Rica.
- 2. Status of recent correspondence between FSIS and MAGDSA regarding Poultry Certification.

## **Headquarters Audit**

There had been no changes in the organizational structure or upper levels of inspection staffing since the last U.S. audit of Costa Rica's inspection system in September 2000.

To gain an accurate overview of the effectiveness of inspection controls, FSIS requested that the audits of the individual establishments be led by the inspection officials who normally conduct the periodic reviews for compliance with U.S. specifications. The FSIS auditor (hereinafter called "the auditor") observed and evaluated the process.

The auditor conducted a review of inspection system documents pertaining to the establishments listed for records review. This records review was conducted at the establishments during on-site visits. The records review focused primarily on food safety hazards and included the following:

- Internal review reports and compliance check/list
- Supervisory visits to establishments that were certified to export to the U. S.
- Training records for inspectors
- Label approval records such as generic labels, and animal raising claims.
- New laws and implementation documents such as regulations, notices, directives and guidelines.
- Sampling and laboratory analyses for residues.
- Pathogen reduction and other food safety initiatives such as SSOPs, HACCP programs, generic *E. coli* testing and *Salmonella* testing.
- Sanitation, slaughter and processing inspection procedures and standards.
- Control of products from livestock with conditions such as tuberculosis, cysticercosis, etc., and of inedible and condemned materials and veterinary coverage
- Export product inspection and control including export certificates.
- Enforcement records, including examples of criminal prosecution, consumer complaints, recalls, seizure and control of noncompliant product, and withholding, suspending, withdrawing inspection services from or delisting an establishment that is certified to export product to the United States.

No concerns arose as a result of the examination of these documents.

# **Government Oversight**

All inspection veterinarians and inspectors in establishments certified by Costa Rica as eligible to export meat products to the United States were full-time MAGDSA employees, receiving no remuneration from either industry or establishment personnel.

## **Establishment Audits**

Four establishments were certified to export meat products to the United States at the time this audit was conducted. All four establishments were visited for on-site audits. In all establishments visited, both Costa Rican inspection system controls and establishment system controls were in place to prevent, detect and control contamination and adulteration of products.

## **Laboratory Audits**

During the laboratory audits, emphasis was placed on the application of procedures and standards that were equivalent to U.S. requirements. Information about the following risk areas was also collected:

- 1. Intra-laboratory quality assurance procedures, including sample handling.
- 2. Methodology.

The Costa Rica Government (MAGDSA) Residues Laboratory in San Jose was audited on July 9, 2001. Effective controls were in place for sample handling and frequency, timely analysis, data reporting, tissue matrices for analysis, equipment operation, print outs, minimum detection levels, recovery frequency, percent recoveries, and corrective actions. The methods used for the analyses were acceptable.

Costa Rica's microbiological testing for *Salmonella* and *E. coli* was being performed in government laboratories and the procedures and methodology were acceptable.

#### Establishment Operations by Establishment Number

The following operations were being conducted in the four establishments:

Beef slaughter, cutting, and boning - three establishments (0008, 0010, 0012) Beef patty production – one establishment (0019)

#### SANITATION CONTROLS

Based on the on-site audits of establishments, Costa Rica's inspection system had controls in place for water potability, sanitizers, pest control program, temperature control, lighting, and ventilation. Basic establishment facilities, condition of facilities and equipment, product protection and handling and establishment sanitation programs were acceptable with the following exceptions:

- 1. The condition of the floor in certain areas of Establishment 8.
- 2. The outside doors were not properly sealed to prevent vermin and rodent infestation in Establishment 12.
- 3. In Establishments 8, 12, and 19, hand-washing facilities in the locker area were not supplied with warm or hot water.
- 4. Condensation was observed in carcass coolers in Establishments 10 and 12, but no product contamination was observed.

## Sanitation Standard Operating Procedures (SSOPs)

Each establishment was evaluated to determine if the basic FSIS regulatory requirements for SSOPs were met, according to the criteria employed in the U.S. domestic inspection program. The data collection instrument used accompanies this report (Attachment A).

The SSOPs were found to meet the basic FSIS regulatory requirements with the following exceptions:

#### **Cross-Contamination**

Cross contamination was observed on a worn out and broken belt of a boneless beef line in Establishment 8. Veterinary officials and establishment officials assured that the belt would be changed within a day.

## **Product Handling and Storage**

A letter of guarantee was not on file in all the establishments using cryo-vac bags with a material used for packaging of plastic bags (a non-sticking white powder). Veterinary Officials obtained the letter that stated that it is a food-grade cornstarch used for layering the plastic bags for shipping. Moisture was observed on the cryo-vac bags after sealing, on the packaging table, and in the cardboard boxes before freezing. Immediate corrective action was taken by wiping the packages before putting them in cardboard boxes and a long-term solution was proposed by all establishments to install a longer table or tunnel for air drying of the packages.

# ANIMAL DISEASE CONTROLS

Costa Rica's inspection system had controls in place to ensure adequate animal identification, ante-mortem and post-mortem inspection procedures and dispositions, condemned and restricted product control, and procedures for sanitary handling of returned and rework product.

There were reported to have been no outbreaks of animal diseases with public-health significance since the previous U.S. audit.

#### RESIDUE CONTROLS

Costa Rica's National Residue Testing Plan for 2001 was being followed and was on schedule. The Costa Rican inspection system had adequate controls in place to ensure compliance with sampling and reporting procedures and storage and use of chemicals.

## **SLAUGHTER/PROCESSING CONTROLS**

Costa Rica's inspection system had controls in place to ensure adequate ant-and post-mortem inspection procedures and dispositions, control and disposition of dead, dying, diseased or disabled animals, humane handling and slaughter and product protection and processed product controls.

# **HACCP Implementation**

All establishments approved to export meat products to the U.S. are required to have developed and implemented a Hazard Analysis – Critical Control Point (HACCP) system. Each of these systems was evaluated according to the criteria employed in the U.S. domestic inspection

program and met FSIS requirements. The data collection instrument used accompanies this report (Attachment B).

The HACCP programs were found to meet the basic FSIS regulatory requirements.

## Testing for Generic E. coli

Costa Rica has adopted the FSIS regulatory requirements for generic *E. coli* testing. All of the establishments audited were required to meet the basic FSIS regulatory requirements for generic *E. coli* testing, and were audited and evaluated according to the criteria employed in the U.S. domestic inspection program. The data collection instrument used accompanies this report (Attachment C).

The *E. coli* testing programs were found to meet the basic FSIS regulatory requirements for generic *E. coli* testing with the exception of the following equivalent measures:

# 1. SAMPLE COLLECTOR: Government takes samples.

- There is a clearly written sampling plan with instruction for sample collection and processing that is being followed.
- The government has a means of ensuring that sample collection activities are appropriate.
- The government uses the test results to verify establishment slaughter, processing and dressing controls for fecal contamination.

## 2. ANALYTICAL METHODS:

- The method is a quantitative method of analysis.
- The method is approved by the AOAC International

Additionally, establishments had adequate controls in place to prevent meat products intended for Costa Rican domestic consumption from being commingled with products eligible for export to the U.S.

#### ENFORCEMENT CONTROLS

## **Inspection System Controls**

The Costa Rican inspection system controls [ante-and post-mortem inspection procedures and dispositions, control of restricted product and inspection samples, control and disposition of dead, dying, diseased or disabled animals, boneless meat re-inspection, shipment security, including shipment between establishments, prevention of commingling of product intended for export to the United States with domestic product, monitoring and verification of establishment programs and controls (including taking and documentation of corrective actions under HACCP plans), inspection supervision and documentation, the importation of only eligible livestock or poultry from other countries (i.e., only from eligible countries and certified establishments within those countries), and the importation of only eligible meat or poultry products from other counties for

further processing] were in place and effective in ensuring that products produced by the establishment were wholesome, unadulterated, and properly labeled. In addition, adequate controls were found to be in place for security items, shipment security, and products entering the establishments from outside sources.

# Testing for Salmonella Species

All of the establishments audited were required to meet the basic FSIS regulatory requirements for *Salmonella* testing, and were evaluated according to the criteria employed in the U.S. domestic inspection program. The data collection instrument used accompanies this report (Attachment D).

Costa Rica has adopted the FSIS regulatory requirements for Salmonella testing.

# **Species Verification Testing**

At the time of this audit, Costa Rica was not exempt from the species verification testing requirements. The auditor verified that species verification testing was being conducted in accordance with FSIS requirements.

# Monthly Reviews

The national meat inspection officials were performing the monthly in-depth reviews and audits. In the event that an establishment is found, during one of these reviews, to be out of compliance with U.S. requirements, and is delisted for U.S. export, before it may again qualify for eligibility to be reinstated, the Chief Meat Inspection Officer is empowered to conduct an in-depth review. He then formulates a plan for corrective actions and preventive measures.

#### **Enforcement Activities**

Meat inspection officials carry out enforcement activities. The Chief Meat Inspection Officer has the sole power to initiate all enforcement actions.

#### **Exit Meeting**

An exit meeting was conducted in San Jose on July 11, 2001. The participants included Dr. Victor Hugo Sancho, Sub Director, Animal Health; Dr. Luis Salas, Chief of Meat Inspection; Dr. Byron Gurdian, National Veterinary Officer, Meat Inspection; and Dr. Suresh Singh, International Audit Staff Officer, FSIS.

The following topics were discussed:

1. Audit findings and observations of the auditor as mentioned in this report.

2. Enforcement reports of USDA and requested the same type of enforcement report from Costa Rican authorities.

#### CONCLUSION

The inspection system of Costa Rica was found to have effective controls to ensure that product destined for export to the United States was produced under conditions equivalent to those which FSIS requires in domestic establishments. Four establishments were audited and all were acceptable. The deficiencies encountered during the on-site establishment audits were adequately addressed to the auditor's satisfaction.

Dr. Suresh P. Singh International Audit Staff Officer (signed)Dr. Suresh P. Singh

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- A. Data collection instrument for SSOPs
- B. Data collection instrument for HACCP programs
- C. Data collection instrument for *E. coli* testing.
- D. Data collection instrument for Salmonella testing
- E. Laboratory Audit Forms
- F. Individual Foreign Establishment Audit Forms
- G. Written Foreign Country's Response to the Draft Final Audit Report (no comments received)

#### **Data Collection Instrument for SSOPs**

Each establishment was evaluated to determine if the basic FSIS regulatory requirements for SSOPs were met, according to the criteria employed in the U.S. domestic inspection program. The data collection instrument contained the following statements:

- 1. The establishment has a written SSOP program.
- 2. The procedure addresses pre-operational sanitation.
- 3. The procedure addresses operational sanitation.
- 4. The pre-operational procedures address (at a minimum) the cleaning of food-contact surfaces of facilities, equipment, and utensils.
- 5. The procedure indicates the frequency of the tasks.
- 6. The procedure identifies the individuals responsible for implementing and maintaining the activities.
- 7. The records of these procedures and any corrective action taken are being maintained on a daily basis.
- 8. The procedure is dated and signed by the person with overall on-site authority.

The results of these evaluations were as follows:

Est. #	1.Written program addressed	2. Pre-op sanitation addressed	3. Oper. Sanitation addressed	4. Contact surfaces addressed	5. Frequency addressed	6. Responsible indiv. Identified	7. Documentation done daily	8. Dated and signed
08	V	V	$\sqrt{}$	V	√	$\sqrt{}$	V	$\sqrt{}$
10	V	V		V		$\sqrt{}$	V	
12	V			V		$\sqrt{}$		V
19	V	V	<b>√</b>	V	V	$\sqrt{}$	V	V

## **Data Collection Instrument for HACCP Programs**

Each of the establishments approved to export meat products to the U.S. was required to have developed and implemented a Hazard Analysis – Critical Control Point (HACCP) system. Each of these systems was evaluated according to the criteria employed in the U.S. domestic inspection program. The data collection instrument included the following statements:

- 1. The establishment has a flow chart that describes the process steps and product flow.
- 2. The establishment had conducted a hazard analysis.
- 3. The analysis includes food safety hazards likely to occur.
- 4. The analysis includes the intended use of or the consumers of the finished product(s).
- 5. There is a written HACCP plan for each product where the hazard analysis revealed one or more food safety hazard(s) reasonably likely to occur.
- 6. All hazards identified in the analysis are included in the HACCP plan; the plan lists a CCP for each food safety hazard identified.
- 7. The HACCP plan specifies critical limits, monitoring procedures, and the monitoring frequency performed for each CCP.
- 8. The plan describes corrective actions taken when a critical limit is exceeded.
- 9. The HACCP plan was validated using multiple monitoring results.
- 10. The HACCP plan lists the establishment's procedures to verify that the plan is being effectively implemented and functioning and the frequency for these procedures.
- 11. The HACCP plan's record-keeping system documents the monitoring of CCPs and/or includes records with actual values and observations.
- 12. The HACCP plan is dated and signed by a responsible establishment official.

The results of these evaluations were as follows:

Est.#	1. Flow diagram	2. Haz- ard an- alysis conduct -ed	3. All hazards ident- ified	4. Use & users includ- ed	5. Plan for each hazard	6. CCPs for all hazards	7. Mon- itoring is spec- ified	8. Corr. actions are des- cribed	9. Plan valida- ted	10.Ade- quate verific. Proced- ures	11.Ade- quate docu- menta- tion	12. Dated and signed
08	<b>V</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	√
10	<b>V</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	√
12	√	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	√
19	<b>V</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>√</b>	V	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>√</b>

# Data Collection Instrument for Generic E. coli Testing

Each establishment was evaluated to determine if the basic FSIS regulatory requirements for generic *E. coli* testing were met, according to the criteria employed in the U.S. domestic inspection program. The data collection instrument contained the following statements:

- 1. The establishment has a written procedure for testing for generic E. coli.
- 2. The procedure designates the employee(s) responsible to collect the samples.
- 3. The procedure designates the establishment location for sample collecting.
- 4. The sample collection is done on the predominant species being slaughtered.
- 5. The sampling is done at the frequency specified in the procedure.
- 6. The equivalent carcass site and collection methodology (Swab) is being used for sampling.
- 7. The carcass selection is following the random method specified in the procedure or is being taken randomly.
- 8. The laboratory is analyzing the sample using an AOAC Official Method.
- 9. The results of the tests are not being recorded on a process control chart but on a table form showing the most recent test results.
- 10. The test results are being maintained for at least 12 months.

	1.Writ-	2. Samp-	3.Samp-	4. Pre-	5. Samp-	6. Pro-	7. Samp-	8. Using	<ol><li>Chart</li></ol>	10. Re-
	ten pro-	ler des-	ling lo-	domin.	ling at	per site	ling is	AOAC	or graph	sults are
Est. #	cedure	ignated	cation	species	the req'd	or	random	method	of	kept at
			given	sampled	freq.	method			results	least 1 yr
08		$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$			$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
10				$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$			$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	
12	√	√			$\sqrt{}$			$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
19		V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V

# Data Collection Instrument for Salmonella Testing

Each slaughter establishment was evaluated to determine if the basic FSIS regulatory requirements for *Salmonella* testing were met, according to the criteria employed in the U.S. domestic inspection program. The data collection instrument included the following statements:

- 1. Salmonella testing is being done in this establishment.
- 2. Carcasses are being sampled.
- 3. Ground product is being sampled.
- 4. The samples are being taken randomly.
- 5. The equivalent carcass site and method is being used for sampling.
- 6. Establishments in violation are not being allowed to continue operations.

The results of these evaluations were as follows:

Est. #	1. Testing as required	2. Carcasses are sampled	3. Ground product is sampled	4. Samples are taken randomly	5. Proper site and/or proper prod.	6. Violative est's stop operations
08	V	V	N/A	√	√ √	V
10	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	√	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
12	√	√	N/A	√	√	√
19	V	N/A	V	$\sqrt{}$	V	√

NAME OF FOREIGN LABORATORY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE **REVIEW DATE** FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS 07-09-01 National Laboratory Of Veterinary Science, MAG-FOR FOREIGN COUNTRY LABORATORY REVIEW ADDRESS OF LABORATORY CITY & COUNTRY FOREIGN GOV'T AGENCY APDO.10094, San Jose, CR SAN Jose, Costa Rica **MAG-FOR** NAME OF FOREIGN OFFICIAL NAME OF REVIEWER Dr.S.P.Singh Dr. Marieta Urena 200 300 400 900 100 Residue Code/Name **REVIEW ITEMS** ITEM # Sample Handling 01 A A A A A SAMPLING PROCEDURES 02 Sampling Frequency A A A A A NO Timely Analyses 03 A A A A A EVALUAT Compositing Procedure 04 0 0 0 0 0 Interpret Comp Data 05 o 0 0 o 0 **Data Reporting** 06 A A A 07 Acceptable Method CODE A A A A A 80 Correct Tissue(s) A A A A A

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES **EVALUATION** 09 **Equipment Operation** A A A A A 10 **Instrument Printouts** A A A A A Minimum Detection Levels 11 A A A A A 12 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES Recovery Frequency A A A A A CODE 13 Percent Recovery A A A A ALUATION 14 **Check Sample Frequency** A A A A A All analyst w/Check Samples 15 A A A A A 교 **Corrective Actions** 16 A A A A A 17 International Check Samples A A A A A REVIEW PROCEDURES CODE 18 A A A A A **Corrected Prior Deficiencies** EVAL. CODE 19 20 SIGNATURE OF REVIEWER

07/09/2001

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE	REVIE	EW DATE ESTABLISHMENT NO. AND NAME				CITY Montecillos	
INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS  FOREIGN PLANT REVIEW FORM	07-0	05-2001	0008, Coopemontecillos			COUNTRY Costa Rica	
NAME OF REVIEWER			  IGN OFFICIAL  ando Salas		EVALUATION Acc	centable/	
Dr.S.P.Singh  CODES (Give an appropriate code for each	1			-	X Acceptable Acc	review Unacce	eptable
A = Acceptable M = Margin			U = Unacceptable	N =	Not Reviewed	O = Does not ap	ply
1. CONTAMINATION CONTROL		Cross c	ontamination prevention	28 <b>A</b>	Formulations		55 <b>A</b>
(a) BASIC ESTABLISHMENT FACILITIES		Equipm	ent Sanitizing	29 <b>A</b>	Packaging materi	als	56 M
Water potability records	01 <b>A</b>	Product	handling and storage	30 <b>A</b>	Laboratory confir	mation	57 <b>A</b>
Chlorination procedures	02 <b>A</b>	Product	reconditioning	31 <b>A</b>	Label approvals		58 <b>A</b>
Back siphonage prevention	03 <b>A</b>	Product	transportation	32 <b>A</b>	Special label clair	ms	59 <b>O</b>
Hand washing facilities	04 <b>M</b>	(d) E	STABLISHMENT SANITATION PROGRA		Inspector monito	ring	60 <b>A</b>
Sanitizers	05 <b>A</b>	Effectiv	ve maintenance program	33 <b>A</b>	Processing sched	lules	61 O
Establishments separation	06 <b>A</b>	Preope	rational sanitation	34 A	Processing equip	ment	62 <b>O</b>
Pestno evidence	07 <b>A</b>	Operati	onal sanitation	Processing record	ds	63 O	
Pest control program	08 <b>A</b>	Waste	disposal	Empty can inspection		64 O	
Pest control monitoring	09 <b>A</b>		2. DISEASE CONTROL	Filling procedures	3	65 <b>O</b>	
Temperature control	10 <b>A</b>	Animal	identification	37 <b>A</b>	Container closure	e exam	66 O
Lighting	11 A	Antemortem inspec. procedures 31			Interim container	handling	67 O
Operations work space	12 A	Antemo	ortem dispositions	39 <b>A</b>	Post-processing	handling	68 O
Inspector work space	13 A	Human	e Slaughter	40 <b>A</b>	Incubation proce	dures	69 <b>O</b>
Ventilation	14 A	Postmo	ortem inspec. procedures	41 A	Process. defect actions plant		70 <b>O</b>
Facilities approval	15 <b>A</b>	Postmo	ortem dispositions	42 <b>A</b>	Processing contr	ol inspection	71 <b>O</b>
Equipment approval	16 A	Conder	nned product control	43 A	5. COMPLIANCE/E	CON. FRAUD CONTRO	OL.
(b) CONDITION OF FACILITIES EQUIPMEN	IT	Restric	ted product control	44 A	Export product id	dentification	72 <b>A</b>
Over-product ceilings	17 A	Return	ed and rework product	45 <b>A</b>	Inspector verifica	ation	73 <b>A</b>
Over-product equipment	18 <b>A</b>		3. RESIDUE CONTROL		Export certificate	es	74 <b>A</b>
Product contact equipment	19 <b>M</b>	Residu	e program compliance	46 <b>A</b>	Single standard		75 <b>A</b>
Other product areas (inside)	20 M	Sampli	ng procedures	47 <b>A</b>	Inspection super	vision	76 <b>A</b>
Dry storage areas	21 <b>A</b>	Residu	e reporting procedures	48 <b>A</b>	Control of securi	ty items	77 <b>A</b>
Antemortem facilities	22 A	Approv	al of chemicals, etc.	49 <b>A</b>	Shipment securit	ty	78 <b>A</b>
Welfare facilities	23 A	Storag	e and use of chemicals	50 <b>A</b>	Species verificat	ion	79 <b>A</b>
Outside premises	24 A		4. PROCESSED PRODUCT CONTROL		"Equal to" status	S	80 <b>A</b>
(c) PRODUCT PROTECTION & HANDLIN		Pre-bo	ning trim	51 <b>A</b>	Imports		81 <b>A</b>
Personal dress and habits	25 <b>A</b>	Bonele	ss meat reinspection	52 <b>A</b>			
Personal hygiene practices	26 A	Ingredi	ients identification	53 <b>A</b>		100	
Sanitary dressing procedures	27 A	Contro	ol of restricted ingredients	54 A	COMMENTS MAI	DE ON REVERSE	1

FOREIGN PLANT REVIEW FORM		ESTABLISHMENT NO. AND NAME	CITY Montecillos
(reverse)	07-05-2001	0008, Coopemontecillos	COUNTRY Costa Rica
NAME OF REVIEWER Dr.S.P.Singh	NAME OF FORE Dr. Luis Fern		ceptable/ Unacceptable

#### COMMENTS:

- M;4- Hand washing facilities were not su[[lied with hot/warm water in the locker area.
- M:19- Belt in boneless beef line was worn out and cross contamination was observed.
- M:-20- Broken floor was observed at several location in slaughter and evisceration areas.
- M:56- Moisture was observed in the boxes with boneless beef in cryvac bags .

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE	REVIE	EW DATE   ESTABLISHMENT NO. AND NAME				CITY Liberia	
INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS	07	-03-01	0010, Procecar			COUNTRY	
FOREIGN PLANT REVIEW FORM						Costa Rica	
NAME OF REVIEWER Dr.S.P.Singh		e OF FORE uis Salas	IGN OFFICIAL		EVALUATION  Acceptable Re-	ceptable/ review Unacce	eptable
CODES (Give an appropriate code for each A = Acceptable M = Margin			below) U = Unacceptable	N :	= Not Reviewed	O = Does not ap	nlv
1. CONTAMINATION CONTROL	any Ac		ontamination prevention	28	Formulations	O Doos not ap	55
T. CONTAMINATION CONTROL		20			Tomalations		
(a) BASIC ESTABLISHMENT FACILITIES		Equipm	ent Sanitizing	A	Packaging materi	als	56 <b>A</b>
Water potability records	01 <b>A</b>	Product	handling and storage	30 <b>A</b>	Laboratory confir	mation	57 <b>A</b>
Chlorination procedures	02 <b>A</b>	Product	reconditioning	31 <b>A</b>	Label approvals		58 <b>A</b>
Back siphonage prevention	03 <b>A</b>	Product	transportation	32 <b>A</b>	Special label clair	ns	59 <b>O</b>
Hand washing facilities	04 <b>A</b>	(d) E	STABLISHMENT SANITATION PROGRA		Inspector monito	ring	<b>O</b>
Sanitizers	05 <b>A</b>	Effectiv	re maintenance program	33 <b>A</b>	Processing sched	lules	61 <b>O</b>
Establishments separation	06 <b>A</b>	Preope	ational sanitation	Processing equip	ment	62 O	
Pestno evidence	07 <b>A</b>	Operati	onal sanitation	Processing record	ds	63 O	
Pest control program	08 <b>A</b>	Waste	disposal	Empty can inspec	ction	64 O	
Pest control monitoring	09 <b>A</b>		2. DISEASE CONTROL	Filling procedures	3	65 O	
Temperature control	10 <b>A</b>	Animal	identification	Container closure	e exam	66 O	
Lighting	11 A	Antemo	ortem inspec. procedures	38 <b>A</b>	Interim container handling		67 <b>O</b>
Operations work space	12 A	Antemo	ortem dispositions	39 <b>A</b>	Post-processing handling		68 O
Inspector work space	13 A	Human	e Slaughter	40 <b>A</b>	Incubation procedures		69 <b>O</b>
Ventilation	14 <b>A</b>	Postmo	rtem inspec. procedures	41 <b>A</b>	Process. defect a	actions plant	70 <b>O</b>
Facilities approval	15 A	Postmo	ortem dispositions	42 A	Processing contr	ol inspection	71 <b>O</b>
Equipment approval	16 A	Conder	nned product control	43 A	5. COMPLIANCE/E	CON. FRAUD CONTRO	)L
(b) CONDITION OF FACILITIES EQUIPMEN	IT	Restric	ted product control	44 <b>A</b>	Export product ic	dentification	72 <b>A</b>
Over-product ceilings	17 M	Return	ed and rework product	45 A	Inspector verifica	ation	73 <b>A</b>
Over-product equipment	18 A		3. RESIDUE CONTROL		Export certificate	es	74 <b>A</b>
Product contact equipment	19 <b>A</b>	Residu	e program compliance	46 <b>A</b>	Single standard		75 <b>A</b>
Other product areas (inside)	20 A	Sampli	ng procedures	47 <b>A</b>	Inspection super	vision	76 <b>A</b>
Dry storage areas	21 A	Residu	e reporting procedures	48 <b>A</b>	Control of securi	ty items	77 <b>A</b>
Antemortem facilities	22 A	Approv	al of chemicals, etc.	49 <b>A</b>	Shipment securit	ty	78 <b>A</b>
Welfare facilities	23 A	Storag	e and use of chemicals	50 <b>A</b>	Species verificat	ion	79 <b>A</b>
Outside premises	24 A	1	4. PROCESSED PRODUCT CONTROL		"Equal to" status	S	80 <b>A</b>
(c) PRODUCT PROTECTION & HANDLIN	G	Pre-bo	ning trim	51 <b>A</b>	Imports		81 <b>A</b>
Personal dress and habits	25 A	Bonele	ss meat reinspection	52 <b>A</b>			
Personal hygiene practices	26 A	Ingredi	ents identification	53 <b>A</b>			
Sanitary dressing procedures	27 A	Contro	of restricted ingredients	54 <b>A</b>	COMMENTS MAI	DE ON REVERSE	

FOREIGN PLANT REVIEW FORM		ESTABLISHMENT NO. AND NAME	CITY Liberia
(reverse)	07-03-01	0010, Procecar	COUNTRY Costa Rica
NAME OF REVIEWER Dr.S.P.Singh	NAME OF FORE Dr.Luis Salas		ceptable/ review Unacceptable

COMMENTS:

M:17- Condensation was observed in one of the carcass cooler-no product contamination observed.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE	REVIE	W DATE	ESTABLISHMENT NO. AND NAM	E		CITY Heredia	
INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS  FOREIGN PLANT REVIEW FORM	07-	-04-01	0012, Central American Meat	S.A.		COUNTRY Costa Rica	
NAME OF REVIEWER	NAM	E OE EORE	IGN OFFICIAL	·	EVALUATION	Costa Rica	
Dr.S.P.Singh		uis Salas	IGN OFFICIAL		Acc	ceptable/ review Unacce	aptable
CODES (Give an appropriate code for each of A = Acceptable M = Margin			below) U = Unacceptable	N =	Not Reviewed	O = Does not ap	ply
1. CONTAMINATION CONTROL		Cross c	ontamination prevention	Formulations		55 <b>A</b>	
(a) BASIC ESTABLISHMENT FACILITIES		Equipm	ent Sanitizing	Packaging materials		56 <b>A</b>	
Water potability records	01 <b>A</b>	Product	handling and storage	30 <b>A</b>	Laboratory confir	mation	57 <b>A</b>
Chlorination procedures	02 <b>A</b>	Product	reconditioning	31 <b>A</b>	Label approvals		58 <b>A</b>
Back siphonage prevention	03 <b>A</b>	Product	transportation	32 <b>A</b>	Special label clair	ms	59 <b>O</b>
Hand washing facilities	04 <b>M</b>	(d) E:	STABLISHMENT SANITATION PROGRA	М	Inspector monito	ring	60 O
Sanitizers	05 <b>A</b>	Effectiv	ve maintenance program	33 <b>A</b>	Processing sched	lules	61 <b>O</b>
Establishments separation	06 <b>A</b>	Preope	rational sanitation	Processing equip	ment	62 <b>O</b>	
Pestno evidence	07 <b>A</b>	Operati	onal sanitation	Processing record	ds	63 <b>O</b>	
Pest control program	08 <b>A</b>	Waste	disposal	Empty can inspection		64 O	
Pest control monitoring	09 <b>A</b>		2. DISEASE CONTROL	Filling procedures	S	65 O	
Temperature control	10 <b>A</b>	Animal	identification	37 <b>A</b>	Container closure	e exam	66 O
Lighting	11 A	Antemortem inspec. procedures			Interim container	handling	67 <b>O</b>
Operations work space	12 A	Antemo	ortem dispositions	39 <b>A</b>	Post-processing	handling	68 O
Inspector work space	13 A	Human	e Slaughter	40 <b>A</b>	Incubation proce	dures	69 O 70 O
Ventilation	14 A	Postmo	ortem inspec. procedures	41 A	Process. defect actions plant		
Facilities approval	15 A	Postmo	ortem dispositions	42 <b>A</b>	Processing contr	ol inspection	71 O
Equipment approval	16 <b>A</b>	Conder	mned product control	43 A	5. COMPLIANCE/E	CON. FRAUD CONTRO	)L
(b) CONDITION OF FACILITIES EQUIPMEN	IT	Restric	ted product control	44 A	Export product is	dentification	72 <b>A</b>
Over-product ceilings	17 M	Return	ed and rework product	45 A	Inspector verifica	ation	73 <b>A</b>
Over-product equipment	18 A		3. RESIDUE CONTROL		Export certificate	es	74 <b>A</b>
Product contact equipment	19 <b>A</b>	Residu	e program compliance	46 <b>A</b>	Single standard		75 <b>A</b>
Other product areas (inside)	<sup>20</sup> M	Sampli	ng procedures	47 <b>A</b>	Inspection super	vision	76 <b>A</b>
Dry storage areas	21 <b>A</b>	Residu	e reporting procedures	48 <b>A</b>	Control of securi	ity items	77 A
Antemortem facilities	22 A	Approv	val of chemicals, etc.	49 <b>A</b>	Shipment securi	ty	78 <b>A</b>
Welfare facilities	23 <b>A</b>	Storag	e and use of chemicals	50 <b>A</b>	Species verificat	ion	79 <b>A</b>
Outside premises	24 A		4. PROCESSED PRODUCT CONTROL		"Equal to" statu	s	80 <b>A</b>
(c) PRODUCT PROTECTION & HANDLIN	 G	Pre-bo	ning trim	51 <b>A</b>	Imports		81 <b>A</b>
Personal dress and habits	25 A	Bonele	ss meat reinspection	52 <b>A</b>			
Personal hygiene practices	26 A	Ingred	ients identification	53 <b>A</b>			
Sanitary dressing procedures	27 A	Contro	ol of restricted ingredients	54 A	COMMENTS MA	DE ON REVERSE	1

FOREIGN PLANT REVIEW FORM (reverse)		ESTABLISHMENT NO. AND NAME 0012, Central American Meat S.A.	CITY Heredia COUNTRY Costa Rica
NAME OF REVIEWER Dr.S.P.Singh	NAME OF FOREIGN OFFICIAL Dr.Luis Salas		ceptable/ Unacceptable

20		ITC.

- M:4--Hand washing facilities in the locker area were not supplied with hot or warm water.
- M:17- Condensation was observed in carcass cooler number 3.
- M:20- Outside doors in shipping area were not properly tight ( Open Spaces) -potential for vermin and insect infestation.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE	REVIE	W DATE	ESTABLISHMENT NO. AND NAM	1E		CITY Heredia		
INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS  FOREIGN PLANT REVIEW FORM	07-1	10-2001	019, Procesadora de Carne de	S.A.	COUNTRY			
		- 05 5005	TON OFFICIAL		I =	Costa Rica		
NAME OF REVIEWER Dr.S.P.Singh		uis Salas	IGN OFFICIAL		ceptable/ review Unacc	eptable		
CODES (Give an appropriate code for each review item listed below)  A = Acceptable M = Marginally Acceptable U = Unacceptable N = Not Reviewed O = Does not apply								
1. CONTAMINATION CONTROL		Cross contamination prevention 28		28 <b>A</b>	Formulations		55 <b>A</b>	
(a) BASIC ESTABLISHMENT FACILITIES		Legunment Sanitizing		29 <b>A</b>	Packaging materi	Packaging materials 56		
Water potability records	01 <b>A</b>	Product handling and storage		30 <b>A</b>	Laboratory confirmation		57 <b>A</b>	
Chlorination procedures	02 <b>A</b>	Product reconditioning 3			Label approvals 58 A			
Back siphonage prevention	03 <b>A</b>	Product transportation			Special label claims 59 O		59 <b>O</b>	
Hand washing facilities	04 <b>M</b>	(d) ESTABLISHMENT SANITATION PROGRAM		M	Inspector monitoring		60 <b>A</b>	
Sanitizers	05 <b>A</b>	Effective maintenance program 33 A			Processing schedules		61 <b>O</b>	
Establishments separation	06 <b>A</b>	Preoperational sanitation					62 O	
Pestno evidence	07 <b>A</b>	Operational sanitation			Processing records 63 0			
Pest control program	08 <b>A</b>	Waste disposal			Empty can inspection <sup>64</sup> O			
Pest control monitoring	09 <b>A</b>	2. DISEASE CONTROL			Filling procedures		65 <b>O</b>	
Temperature control	10 <b>A</b>	Animal identification		37 <b>A</b>	Container closure exam		66 O	
Lighting	11 A	Antemortem inspec. procedures		38 <b>A</b>	1		67 <b>O</b>	
Operations work space	12 A	Antemortem dispositions		39 <b>A</b>	Post-processing handling		68 O	
Inspector work space	13 A	Humane Slaughter		40 <b>A</b>	Incubation procedures		69 <b>O</b>	
Ventilation	14 <b>A</b>	Postmortem inspec. procedures		41 A			70 O	
Facilities approval	15 <b>A</b>	Postmo	ortem dispositions	42 A	Processing control inspection		71 <b>O</b>	
Equipment approval	16 <b>A</b>	Conder	nned product control	43 <b>A</b>	5. COMPLIANCE/ECON. FRAUD CONTROL			
(b) CONDITION OF FACILITIES EQUIPMENT			ted product control	44 A			72 <b>A</b>	
Over-product ceilings	17 <b>A</b>	Returne	ed and rework product	45 <b>A</b>	Inspector verifica	ation	73 <b>A</b>	
Over-product equipment	18 <b>A</b>	3. RESIDUE CONTROL			Export certificates		74 <b>A</b>	
Product contact equipment	19 <b>A</b>	Residu	e program compliance	46 A	Single standard		75 <b>A</b>	
Other product areas (inside)	20 <b>A</b>	Sampli	ng procedures	47 A	Inspection super	vision	76 <b>A</b>	
Dry storage areas	21 A	Residu	e reporting procedures	48 <b>A</b>	Control of securi	ty items	77 <b>A</b>	
Antemortem facilities	22 A	Approv	al of chemicals, etc.	49 <b>A</b>	Shipment securit	ty	78 <b>A</b>	
Welfare facilities	23 A	Storage	e and use of chemicals	50 <b>A</b>	Species verificat	ion	79 <b>A</b>	
Outside premises	24 A	4. PROCESSED PRODUCT CONTROL			"Equal to" status		80 <b>A</b>	
(c) PRODUCT PROTECTION & HANDLING		Pre-bo	ning trim	51 <b>A</b>	Imports		81 <b>A</b>	
Personal dress and habits	25 A	Bonele	ss meat reinspection	52 <b>A</b>				
Personal hygiene practices	26 A	Ingredi	ents identification	53 <b>A</b>				
Sanitary dressing procedures	27 A	Contro	of restricted ingredients	54 <b>A</b>	COMMENTS MAI	DE ON REVERSE	1	

FOREIGN PLANT REVIEW FORM (reverse)		establishment no. and name 019, Procesadora de Carne del Rey, S.A.		CITY Heredia	
	07-10-2001			COUNTRY Costa Rica	
NAME OF REVIEWER Dr.S.P.Singh	NAME OF FORE Dr.Luis Salas			ceptable/ review Unacceptable	

COMMENTS:

M;4- Hand washing facilities in locker area was not supplied with hot or warm water.

# Country Response Not Received